



PAMEC 2024

Pan American Marine Energy Conference
Barranquilla, Colombia Jan 22-24, 2024




Educación

PRO and SWRO experimental synergy: a renewable nexus in the Caribbean

Speaker: Angie Cala, Msc.

Authors: Angie Cala, Msc – Ricardo Mejía-Marchena, PhD – Aymer Maturana, PhD.

Barranquilla, Colombia – Jan 22, 2024



Introduction

Harvesting renewable energy and providing a second water alternative: a bridge between water desalination and electricity generation in the Caribbean blue revolution.


How?



Pressure Retarded Osmosis (PRO)
Magdalena River

Reverse Osmosis (RO)
Caribbean Sea

- Evaluate the potential of the area.
- Characterize waters.
- Adapt waters for operation (pretreatment).
- Exploration of technology configurations.
- Analyze and choose the configuration with the most significant synergistic benefits.



Significant contribution to the region

Evaluate beyond conventional approaches by meticulously examining water quality along with energy production in RO-PRO hybrid systems. We unlock the potential not only to generate sustainable energy, but also to ensure the highest standards in produced water quality. This dual approach differentiates our research, offering a comprehensive solution to the water and energy challenges in the Colombian Caribbean region.

6 CLEAN WATER AND SANITATION



7 AFFORDABLE AND CLEAN ENERGY



9 INDUSTRY, INNOVATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE



Magdalena river:
Unique water source

12 RESPONSIBLE CONSUMPTION AND PRODUCTION




13 CLIMATE ACTION



Thermal sources:
78,3%*


Colombia's Caribbean Region



* According to the National Development Plan 2018-2022 report.

Renewable sources: 21,7%*

Experimental Runs



Pretreatment

First stage: Conventional multimedia filtration

Type: Fractional factorial

Runs: 60

Second stage: Ultrafiltration

Type: Factorial 2^3

Runs: 32

OBJECTIVE: Turbidity, TOC, and SDI.


Coupled process

Type: Fractional 2×3

Runs: 36

OBJECTIVE: Power density and conductivity.



DoE



- ✓ Bibliographic review and collection
- ✓ Water sampling and characterization
- ✓ Conceptual design and experimental setup
- ✓ Execution and analysis of configurations
- ✓ Energy measurement
- ✓ Configuration selection

Experimental Runs

PRO: Membrane characteristic



$$A = 5,86799 \times 10^{-9} \quad \tau\epsilon = 2.32 \times 10^{-3}$$

$$B = 6.13474 \times 10^{-8} \text{ m/s} \quad K = 1.54 \times 10^6 \text{ s/m}$$


$$k = 9.17 \times 10^{-4} \text{ m/s}$$

$$D = 1.51 \times 10^{-9} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$$

A: Water permeability coefficient
B: Salt permeability
k: Mass transport coefficient
K: Resistivity
 $\tau\epsilon$: The thickness, tortuosity, and porosity
D: Diffusion coefficient


Results

1. Coupling Process Analysis




Net Consumption: -4.79 Wh/m^2
 Conductivity: $986 \mu\text{s/cm}$
 WERR: -286 S/h

Configuration 1




Bocas de Cenizas

- W Experimental
- W Theoretical
- W Ideal
- Flux Experimental
- Flux Theoretical
- Flux Ideal



Net Consumption: -6.68 Wh/m^2
 Conductivity: $6.64 \mu\text{s/cm}$
 WERR: -100 S/h

Configuration 2



Results

2. Coupling Process Analysis

Technical PRO component

An indicator prioritizing higher net energy consumption in PRO compared to conductivity in produced water.

Water quality components produced at RO

Penalizes conductivity above 1000 $\mu\text{s}/\text{cm}$ in produced water, the drinking water limit (Res. 2115, 2007 – COL).

Synergic Coupling Component


is measured by WERR, representing the commercial value conversion of water from RO and energy from PRO.

Configurations		Technical Component		Water Quality Component		Synergic Component		Total
		Net Consumption	Score	Conductivity	Score	WER R	Score	Score
No.	Description	Wh/m ²	0.3333	$\mu\text{s}/\text{cm}$	0.3333	\$/h	0.333 3	1
1	RO in the first stage + PRO in the second stage	-4.79	1.20	986	7.72	-286	1.12	3.43
2	PRO in the first stage + RO in the second stage	-6.68	0.50	6.64	9.97	-100	3.45	4.67

Key Findings

- 1. Effective Pretreatment:** Achieved up to 99.63% reduction in turbidity, 91.00% in TOC for river and seawater and $SDI < 5$.
- 2. FTSH₂O Membrane:** Vital coefficients obtained, including linear water flux increase.
- 3. Statistical Analysis:** Highly significant impact on power density ($R^2 = 0.955$), with ΔP (psi) and C extraction (mg/L) influencing the response.
- 4. Experimental vs. Theoretical:** Notable experimental outperformance, Configuration 1 achieving 3.66 W/m^2 , Configuration 2 showing potential with 1.77 W/m^2 .
- 5. Comparative Analysis:** Configuration 2 is preferred due to lower conductivity, meeting water quality standards, and notable synergistic benefits.
- 6. Contextual Considerations:** Caution in generalizing findings.
- 7. Gateway for Sustainable Processes:** Encourages exploration of alternative couplings. Ongoing efforts focus on enhancing energy efficiency and analyzing larger-scale modules.

Configuration 2



Thanks


Contact us:



canggie@uninorte.edu.co

marchenar@unirnorte.edu.co

maturanaa@uninorte.edu.co



This research was financed with resources from:
PATRIMONIO AUTÓNOMO FONDO NACIONAL DE
FINANCIAMIENTO PARA LA CIENCIA, LA
TECNOLOGÍA Y LA INNOVACIÓN FRANCISCO JOSÉ
DE CALDAS. Ministerio de Ciencia, Tecnología e innovación
de Colombia, Contrato No. 80740-538-2020.